

Arizona Department of Education Health and Nutrition Services

National School Lunch Program On-Site Monitoring Form

This form is to be completed every school year prior to February 1 by each School Food Authority (SFA) operating the National School Lunch Program with more than one site. SFAs must perform no less than one on-site review per site of the lunch counting and claiming operation and the readily observable general areas.

Site Name:			
SFA Monitor:			
Monitoring Date:			
Yes No N/A	Answer the following questions during the on-site review.		
	1. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location where complete meals are served to children.)		
	2. Is the point of service meal count used to determine the school's claim for reimbursement?		
	3. Is the person responsible for monitoring meals correctly identifying reimbursable meals for the menu planning option selected by the SFA?		
	4. Is the school correctly implementing policies for handling the following (as applicable):a) Incomplete meals?b) Second meals?		
	c) Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, PINs? d) Visiting student meals?		
	e) Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs. non-program)? f) A la carte? g) Student worker meals?		
	h) Field trips? i) Charged and/or prepaid meals?		
	j) Offer vs. Serve?5. Is there someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?		

Yes No N/A	Continue answering the questions.		
	6. Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (e.g., not meeting meal pattern requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable meals?		
	7. Are there procedures for meal counting and claiming when the primary counting and claiming system is not available, and do staff know when and how to implement it?		
	8. Are daily counts correctly totaled and recorded?		
	9. If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts correctly totaled and consolidated?		
	10. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim for reimbursement is made? Record today's meal counts by category and compare to the number of students eligible by category.		
	Number of Students Eligible by Category Free: Reduced: Paid:	Today's Meal Counts by Category Free: Reduced: Paid:	
	11. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced-price meals?		
	THE QUESTIONS BELOW ARE 'N/A' FOR SFAs OPERATING PROVISION 2/3 IN NON-BASE YEARS, CEP, OR RCCIs WITH ONLY RESIDENTIAL CHILDREN.		
	12. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable price, paid)?		
	13. Are edit checks completed and documented free, reduced price, and paid meals against the currently eligible for free, reduced price, and paattendance factor (and any discrepancies account	product of the number of children aid meals, respectively, times an	

Explain the corrective action plan for all "No" answers.			
What date will	l corrective action be implemented by and who is responsible for the implementation?		
Yes No	Assessment of the readily observable general areas.		
	 1. Were any issues observed in relation to resource management? a) Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account b) Paid Lunch Equity c) Revenue of Nonprogram Foods d) Indirect Costs 		
	 2. Were any issues observed in other general areas? a) Free and Reduced Price Process – including verification, notification, and other procedures b) Civil Rights c) Reporting and recording d) Food Safety e) Competitive Food Service f) Water g) Professional Standards h) SBP and SFSP Outreach i) Local School Wellness Policy j) Other 		

Explain the <u>corrective action plan</u> for all "Yes" answers.		
What date will corrective action be implemented by a	and who is responsible for the implementation?	
Follow-up visits must be conducted within 45 days of the initial visit if correction action was required. What were the observations after the correction action was implemented?		
Site Representative & Title	Date	
SFA Monitor & Title	Date	